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Table 1 Contributing Member
2 Abstract

LSO is an agile approach to streamlining and automating the service lifecycle in a sustainable fashion for coordinated management and control across all network domains responsible for delivering an end-to-end Connectivity Service (e.g., Carrier Ethernet, IP VPN, MPLS, etc.). This document describes a Reference Architecture and Framework for orchestrating the service lifecycle. It includes a set of functional management entities that enable cooperative service lifecycle orchestration for Third Network Connectivity Services. The framework also provides high level functional requirements and outlines high level operational threads describing orchestrated Connectivity Service behavior as well as interactions among management and control entities. The Management Interface Reference Points that characterize interactions between LSO functional management entities are identified in the reference architecture. These Management Interface Reference Points are described such that they can be realized by Interface Profiles and further by APIs, which can be used to enable automated and orchestrated Connectivity Services.

3 Terminology and Acronyms

This section defines the terms used in this document. In many cases, the normative definitions to terms are found in other documents. In these cases, the third column is used to provide the reference that is controlling, in other MEF or external documents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agile</td>
<td>Relating to a Service Provider’s ability to rapidly introduce new, on demand services using new technologies without disrupting their top-to-bottom operational environment. Agility can be achieved via proper product / service / resource abstractions using APIs and orchestration.</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Program Interface (API)</td>
<td>In the context of LSO, API describes one of the Management Interface Reference Points based on the requirements specified in an Interface Profile, along with a data model, the protocol that defines operations on the data and the encoding format used to encode data according to the data model.</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assured</td>
<td>Relating to the Customer’s expectations that a network Connectivity Service will provide consistent performance and security assurances to meet the needs of their applications.</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Process Flow</td>
<td>Graphically represents the behavior of Process Elements in an “end-to-end” or “through” Process view across the business (i.e., Enterprise).</td>
<td>[TMF GB921P]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectivity Service</td>
<td>A service delivering network connectivity (i.e. traffic) among service access points described by a set of both static and/or dynamic service attributes.</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer</td>
<td>A Customer is the organization purchasing, managing, and/or using Connectivity Services from a Service Provider. This may be an end user business organization, mobile operator, or a partner network operator.</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Model</td>
<td>Models managed objects based on an Information Model at a more detailed level using a specific data modeling language. Data modeling languages include XSD, IDL, and YANG.</td>
<td>[IETF RFC 3444]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element Management System (EMS)</td>
<td>A management system used to manage the individual network elements as well as the networks that connect them. One or more EMSs may be deployed within a Service Provider management domain depending on the different supplier products and geographic distribution of the network elements in the network.</td>
<td>[MEF 7.2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethernet Virtual Connection (EVC)</td>
<td>An association of two or more Ethernet UNIs.</td>
<td>[MEF 4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensible Markup Language (XML)</td>
<td>A markup language that defines a set of rules for encoding documents in a format which is both human-readable and machine-readable.</td>
<td>[W3C XML]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Network Network Interface (ENNI)</td>
<td>A reference point representing the boundary between two Operator networks that are operated as separate administrative domains.</td>
<td>[MEF 26.1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forwarding Construct (FC)</td>
<td>Enabled forwarding between two or more LTPs which supports any transport protocol including all circuit and packet forms.</td>
<td>[ONF TR-512]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forwarding Domain (FD)</td>
<td>The topological component which represents the opportunity to enable forwarding between points represented by LTPs.</td>
<td>[ONF TR-512]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional Management Entity</td>
<td>A set of specific management layer functionality within the LSO Reference Architecture.</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP)</td>
<td>A stateless application-level protocol for distributed, collaborative, hypertext information systems.</td>
<td>[IETF RFC 7230]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Model</td>
<td>Models managed objects at a conceptual level, independent of any specific implementations or protocols used to transport the data. Information models may be described using UML class diagrams.</td>
<td>[IETF RFC 3444]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interface Profile</td>
<td>Defines the structure, behavior, and semantics supporting a specific Management Interface Reference Point identified in the LSO Reference Architecture. The Interface Profile specification contains all the necessary information to implement the related API, including objects, attributes, operations, notifications, and parameters.</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Network Network Interface (INNI)</td>
<td>A reference point representing the boundary between two networks or network elements that are operated within the same administrative domain.</td>
<td>[MEF 4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JavaScript Object Notation (JSON)</td>
<td>A text format that facilitates structured data interchange between all programming languages.</td>
<td>[ECMA-404]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifecycle Service Orchestration (LSO)</td>
<td>Open and interoperable automation of management operations over the entire lifecycle of Layer 2 and Layer 3 Connectivity Services. This includes fulfillment, control, performance, assurance, usage, security, analytics and policy capabilities, over all the network domains that require coordinated management and control in order to deliver the service.</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSO Reference Architecture</td>
<td>A layered abstraction architecture that characterizes the management and control domains and entities, and the interfaces among them, to enable cooperative orchestration of Connectivity Services.</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logical Termination Point (LTP)</td>
<td>Termination point that encapsulates the termination, adaptation and OAM functions of one or more transport layers.</td>
<td>[ONF TR-512]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Abstraction</td>
<td>A management view of information categories and high level information classes that hides the details of the underlying complexity. LSO identifies Management Abstractions for the Product, Service, and Resource views.</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Interface Reference Point</td>
<td>The logical point of interaction between specific management entities</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network Control Domain</td>
<td>Represents the scope of control that a particular network controller or WAN controller has with respect to a particular network</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network Domain Controller</td>
<td>Manages the subnetwork boundary edge to subnetwork boundary edge aspects of the network connectivity along with the resources and infrastructure under its control within a specific subnetwork domain.</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network Function Virtualisation (NFV)</td>
<td>The principle of separating network functions from the hardware they run on by using virtual hardware abstraction</td>
<td>[ETSI GS NFV 003]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFV Orchestrator (NFVO)</td>
<td>The functionality that coordinates the management of the connectivity lifecycle, Virtualized Network Functions (VNF) lifecycle, and Network Functions Virtualization Infrastructure (NFVI) resources to ensure an optimized allocation of the necessary supporting resources and connectivity.</td>
<td>[ETSI GS NFV-MAN 001]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Object Class</td>
<td>Used to convey the representation of an entity, including behavior, properties and attributes. An instance of an Object Class may be referred to as an Object.</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational Thread</td>
<td>Describes the high level Use Cases of LSO behavior as well as the series of interactions among management entities, helping to express the vision of the LSO capabilities. May be further described by a series of detailed use cases spanning a top down approach from Product to Service to Resource.</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operator Virtual Connection (OVC)</td>
<td>An association of “external interfaces” within the same Operator network.</td>
<td>[MEF 26.1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orchestrated</td>
<td>Relating to automated service management across potentially multiple operator networks which includes fulfillment, control, performance, assurance, usage, security, analytics, and policy capabilities, which are achieved programatically through APIs that provide abstraction from the particular network technology used to deliver the service.</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner</td>
<td>An organization providing Products and Services to the Service Provider in order to allow the Service Provider to instantiate and manage Service Components external to the Service Provider domain.</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process</td>
<td>A systematic, sequenced set of functional activities that deliver a specified result. In other words, a Process is a sequence of related activities or tasks required to deliver results or outputs.</td>
<td>[TMF GB921P]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process Element</td>
<td>The building blocks or components, which are used to ‘assemble’ end-to-end business Processes performed in an organization.</td>
<td>[TMF GB921P]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product Offering</td>
<td>An externally facing representation of a Service and/or Resource procurable by the Customer.</td>
<td>[TMF GB922]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product Instance</td>
<td>Specific implementation of a Product Offering dedicated to the benefit of a party.</td>
<td>[TMF GB922]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product Lifecycle</td>
<td>The sequence of phases in the life of a Product Offering, including definition, planning, design and implementation of new Product Offerings, changes for existing Product Offerings, and the withdrawal and retirement of Product Offerings.</td>
<td>[MEF 50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product Specification</td>
<td>The detailed description of product characteristics and behavior used in the definition of Product Offerings.</td>
<td>[TMF GB922]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource</td>
<td>A physical or non-physical component (or some combination of these) within a Service Provider’s infrastructure or inventory.</td>
<td>[TMF GB922]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service</td>
<td>Represents the Customer experience of a Product Instance that has been realized within the Service Provider’s and / or Partners’ infrastructure.</td>
<td>[TMF GB922]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Component</td>
<td>A segment or element of a Service that is managed independently by the Service Provider.</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Access Point</td>
<td>The endpoint of a specific Connectivity Service at an Service Interface (e.g., UNI, ENNI).</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Interface</td>
<td>A service level demarcation point between administrative domains, including between a Customer and a Service Provider, between two Service Providers, or between internal administrative domains within a single Service Provider. A Service Interface (e.g., UNI, ENNI, INNI) may include a collection of Service Access Points, each representing an endpoint of a specific Service.</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Level Agreement (SLA)</td>
<td>The contract between the Customer and Service Provider or Operator specifying the agreed to service level commitments and related business agreements.</td>
<td>[MEF 10.3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Level Specification (SLS)</td>
<td>The technical specification of the service level being offered by the Service Provider to the Customer.</td>
<td>[MEF 10.3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Operations, Administration, and Maintenance (SOAM)</td>
<td>Mechanisms for monitoring connectivity and performance for entities (links, services, etc.) within the Carrier Ethernet Network.</td>
<td>[MEF 17]; [MEF 30.1]; [MEF 35.1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Specification</td>
<td>The detailed description of the characteristics and behavior of a Service.</td>
<td>[TMF GB922]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software Defined Networking (SDN)</td>
<td>An architecture that provides open interfaces that enable the development of software that can control the connectivity provided by a set of network resources and the flow of network traffic through them, along with possible inspection and modification of traffic that may be performed in the network.</td>
<td>[ONF TR-504]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 Terminology and Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SDN Controller</td>
<td>Translates SDN applications’ requirements and exerts more granular control over network elements, while providing relevant information up to SDN applications.</td>
<td>[ONF TR-504]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unified Modeling Language (UML)</td>
<td>A general-purpose, developmental, modeling language in the field of software engineering that is intended to provide a standard way to visualize the design of a system.</td>
<td>[OMG UML]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use Case</td>
<td>In UML, a Use Case represents one particular type of a system’s behavior based on stimuli from an external source (i.e., an actor). A system may have several Use Cases that define all its behavior.</td>
<td>[OMG UML]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User Network Interface (UNI)</td>
<td>The physical demarcation point between the responsibility of the Service Provider and the responsibility of the Customer.</td>
<td>[MEF 11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual Network Element (VNE)</td>
<td>An abstraction representing a set of network functions providing network element capabilities implemented in a virtualized environment.</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Scope

The purpose of this document is to define a reference architecture that describes the functional management entities needed to support LSO, and the Management Interface Reference Points between them. LSO provides open and interoperable automation of management operations over the entire lifecycle of Layer 2 and Layer 3 Connectivity Services. This includes design, fulfillment, control, testing, problem management, quality management, billing & usage, security, analytics and policy capabilities, over all the network domains that require coordinated management and control in order to deliver the service. The reference architecture characterizes the management and control domains and entities that enable cooperative LSO capabilities for Connectivity Services. The LSO architecture and framework enables automated management and control of end-to-end Connectivity Services that span multiple provider domains. For example, a Service Provider may extend its footprint by using LSO to interact with potentially several Operators to manage and control the access portions of end-to-end services.

The framework also outlines high level operational threads providing business rationale and describing orchestrated Connectivity Service behavior as well as interactions among management and control entities. This document describes the essential LSO capabilities for Connectivity Services that need to be supported by the common product, service, and resource abstractions and constructs. Such constructs will drive the information and data models that enable the definition of open and interoperable APIs supporting LSO functionality (including virtualized functions, e.g., SDN and NFV). From a services perspective, this framework is intended to support current MEF services; however the framework is also extensible, providing...
the flexibility to handle generic Connectivity Services as well by defining Connectivity Services management constructs. The reference architecture work will also be cross referenced with the efforts of other Standards Development Organizations (SDOs) and open-source projects (e.g., ONF, ETSI NFV, IEEE, ITU-T, IETF, TMF, OPNFV, ODL, OpenStack, etc.).

This framework also describes the engineering approach being followed to generate re-usable engineering specifications and artifacts capturing the LSO requirements, capabilities, functionality, behavior, processes, information, interfaces and APIs supporting management and control of Connectivity Services.

5 Compliance Levels

The requirements in this document that apply to the high level functionality are specified in Section 8. Items that are REQUIRED (contain the words MUST or MUST NOT) will be labeled as [Rx]. Items that are RECOMMENDED (contain the words SHOULD or SHOULD NOT) will be labeled as [Dx]. Items that are OPTIONAL (contain the words MAY or OPTIONAL) will be labeled as [Ox].

The key words “MUST”, “MUST NOT”, “REQUIRED”, “SHALL”, “SHALL NOT”, “SHOULD”, “SHOULD NOT”, “RECOMMENDED”, “MAY”, and “OPTIONAL” in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119. All key words use upper case, bold text to distinguish them from other uses of the words. Any use of these key words (e.g., may and optional) without [Rx], [Dx] or [Ox] is not normative.
6 Introduction

LSO provides orchestration capabilities for the open and interoperable management and control of Third Network Connectivity Services [MEF ThirdNetwork]. The LSO Reference Architecture characterizes the management and control domains and entities that enable cooperative LSO capabilities. This architecture also outlines high level operational threads describing orchestrated Connectivity Service behavior as well as interactions among management entities. LSO overcomes existing complexity by defining product, service, and resource abstractions that hide the complexity of underlying technologies and network layers from the applications and users of the services.

In this document, Section 7 discusses the LSO engineering methodology. The high level functional requirements for LSO functional management entities are provided in Section 8. Section 9 provides the LSO Reference Architecture that characterizes the management and control domains and functional management entities that enable cooperative LSO capabilities. High level Operational Threads describing the use cases for LSO behavior are identified in Section 10. LSO Management Abstractions and constructs are described in Section 11. References may be found in Section 12. Section 13 provides an informative appendix with examples of high level interactions per LSO management interface reference point. Lastly, Section 14 is an appendix providing a mapping of LSO reference architecture and framework functional areas to MEF 50 related processes.

6.1 Third Network Vision

The MEF Forum vision for the evolution and transformation of network Connectivity Services and the networks used to deliver them is referred to as the “Third Network” [MEF ThirdNetwork]. The Third Network combines the on-demand agility and ubiquity of the Internet with the performance and security assurances like that of Carrier Ethernet 2.0 (CE 2.0). The Third Network will enable services between not only service access points residing on physical ports, such as Ethernet ports, but also service access points residing on interfaces running on a blade server in the cloud to connect to Virtual Machines (VMs) or Virtual Network Functions (VNFs).

The Third Network vision is based on Network as a Service (NaaS) principles which make the network appear as a user’s own virtual network, and enables the user to dynamically and on-demand, create, modify and delete services via Customer web portals or software applications. This is analogous to cloud-based services, such as infrastructure as a service (IaaS), where users can dynamically create, modify or delete compute and storage resources.

The MEF Forum will achieve this vision by building upon its successful CE 2.0 foundation by defining requirements for LSO [MEF LSO] and APIs in support of service ordering, fulfillment, performance, usage, analytics and security across multi-operator networks. This approach overcomes existing constraints by defining service abstractions that hide the complexity of
underlying technologies and network layers from the applications and users of the services, while providing sufficient management and control capabilities.

In summary, the goal of the Third Network, based on NaaS principles, is to enable agile networks that deliver assured Connectivity Services orchestrated across network domains.

### 6.2 Lifecycle Service Orchestration

Since Connectivity Services in the Third Network are agile, assured, and orchestrated, they rely on coordinated orchestration of distributed capabilities across potentially many internal networks and many network operators to enable end-to-end management. Such orchestration is executed for the entire Connectivity Service lifecycle where each functional area of the lifecycle is further streamlined and automated, from Product Offering definition through service fulfillment, control, assurance, and billing [MEF 50]. For example, the fulfillment phases of the service lifecycle are focused on automating the inter-provider business interactions and interfaces for the buyer-seller process, including the product catalog, order, service location, and service qualification. Each of these phases is based on the Product Offering defined by the selling carrier. Since the Product Offering is fully defined in the product catalog, a model-driven approach is used to execute the subsequent stages of the service lifecycle, including pre-order, order, and service orchestration. By using a model-driven approach along with abstractions representing products, services, and resources, LSO ensures an agile approach to streamlining and automating the entire service lifecycle in a sustainable fashion.

In LSO, Connectivity Services are orchestrated by Service Providers across all internal and external network domains from one or more network operators. These network domains may be operated by communications Service Providers, data center operators, enterprises, wireless network operators, virtual network operators, or content providers. LSO encompasses all network domains that require coordinated end-to-end management and control to deliver Connectivity Services. Within each provider domain, the network infrastructure may be implemented with traditional WAN technologies, as well as NFV and/or SDN. LSO capabilities not only dramatically decrease the time to establish and modify the characteristics of the Connectivity Service, but also assure the overall service quality and security for these services.
7 LSO Engineering Methodology

The primary goal of the LSO engineering methodology being followed by the MEF Forum is the generation of re-usable engineering specifications and artifacts capturing the LSO requirements, capabilities, functionality, behavior, processes, information, interfaces and APIs supporting management and control of Connectivity Services. As the Third Network emerges, these engineering artifacts will prove to be valuable resources in enabling the transformation of LSO capabilities into interoperable, specific, consistent, and verifiable designs and implementations. Each of these stages of the agile LSO Engineering Methodology illustrated in Figure 1 is discussed in more detail the subsequent sub-sections. In the figure, the solid arrows describe that the artifacts produced during one stage are consumed during subsequent stages, while the dotted back arrowed lines represent relevant feedback to the prior stages.

Figure 1 LSO Engineering Methodology
7.1 LSO Reference Architecture and Framework

The LSO Reference Architecture and Framework, specified in this document, provides a layered architecture that characterizes the management and control domains and entities that enable cooperative LSO capabilities for Connectivity Services. The framework also describes the high level management requirements and outlines high level operational threads. Operational threads describe orchestrated Connectivity Service behavior as well as interactions among management entities spanning the Customer, Service Provider, and partner provider management domains, expressing the vision of the MEF LSO capabilities. Within the LSO Reference Architecture, a Management Interface Reference Point is the logical point of interaction between specific management entities. The Management Interface Reference Points that characterize interactions between LSO functional management entities are identified in the reference architecture. These Management Interface Reference Points are further defined by Interface Profiles and implemented by APIs and Reference Implementations that realize automated and orchestrated Connectivity Services. An Interface Reference Point may be described by a number of Interface Profiles, each addressing a specific functional scope. Artifacts from the LSO Reference Architecture and Framework are used by the subsequent stages in the methodology. Lessons learned from API certification may be used to update the LSO Reference Architecture.

As a specification the LSO Reference Architecture and Framework:

- Describes the LSO engineering methodology (Section 7);
- Provides high level requirements associated with LSO functional areas (Section 8);
- Defines the LSO reference architecture (Section 9);
- Outlines operational threads for LSO (Section 10); and
- Identifies the LSO Management Abstractions and constructs (Section 11).

7.2 Information Models

Information Models define managed objects at a conceptual level, independent of any specific implementations or protocols used to transport the data. The shared common Information Models for LSO supporting Connectivity Services include the service attributes defined in MEF Specifications defining a common set of consistent managed object definitions for managing the service lifecycle. These common management and control information models support management of Products, Services, and Resources. This helps ensure that management and control functionality and information shared among Customer relationship management, service management, resource management, and supplier / partner management functions, as well as orchestrators, infrastructure managers, controllers (e.g., Network Domain Controllers, SDN controllers, etc.), and Network Elements (NEs) are provided in a logically consistent fashion allowing network operators to readily integrate such capabilities into their Connectivity Service management and control environment. The MEF Forum defines management information models supporting LSO, that describe the information associated with the generalized management interactions using protocol neutral Unified Modeling Language (UML). Artifacts from the information models are used by the subsequent stages in the methodology, including the
7.3 Business Process Flows

The details of the high level Operational Threads outlined in the LSO Reference Architecture and Framework are further expanded into more detailed Business Process Flows. Business Process Flows describe the functional activity flows among and within organizations along with information exchanges between management and control entities based on the information models. A process describes a systematic, sequenced set of functional activities that deliver a specified result. MEF LSO enables automation of the related Business Processes that operationalize Connectivity Services in the Third Network. In this model driven approach, the business models (including process models and associated information exchanges with external entities) help drive the Interface Profile design. Artifacts from the Business Process Flows are used by the subsequent stages in the methodology, including the definition of Interface Profiles to support process interactions. Lessons learned from Business Process Flows may be used to update the Information Models.

7.4 Interface Profiles

An Interface Profile is the protocol neutral functional description that defines the structure, behavior, and semantics supporting a specific Management Interface Reference Point identified in the LSO Reference Architecture. A single Interface Reference Point may be described by a number of Interface Profiles, each addressing a specific functional scope. An Interface Profile describes information views and interactions by identifying a subset of object classes, properties, and capabilities (e.g., write, read, etc.) necessary to support each interface view, or information model fragment, relevant to a Management Interface Reference Point based on the Information Models as well as other relevant standards. The Interface Profiles provide a step in the MEF LSO engineering methodology that will supply the logical requirements for language specific (e.g., YANG, XSD, etc.) management data models and APIs. The selection of specific data modeling languages and encodings may be restricted by the Interface Profile. Also, an Interface Profile may identify and constrain the application of specific API definitions developed by other SDOs. Artifacts from the Interface Profiles are used by the subsequent stages in the methodology, including the definition of API Specifications. Lessons learned from Interface Profiles may be used to update the Information Models and Process Flows. Bottom up feedback to realign Information Model and Interface Profiles provides alignment and consistency.

7.5 API Specifications and Data Models

This section describes the LSO engineering phase where API specifications and their associated data models are defined based on the requirements in the Interface Profiles. Interface Profiles provide requirements for the API which may be implemented using a variety of data models and encodings while retaining semantic consistency. More than one API may be defined to instantiate a management interface described in an Interface Profile. Knowledge garnered from
An API specification defines how software components should interact with each other. In the context of LSO, an API is the realization of an Interface Profile for a specific Management Interface Reference Point. The information exchanged across an API is described within a data model that is specified in a data modeling language, for example YANG or XSD. Such a data model defines the structure of data that is conveyed between the two management entities that bound the Management Interface Reference Point.

An API also defines the encoding format (e.g. JSON or XML) that is used to encode data into a representation and format that can be exchanged across the interface according to the structure described by the data model, and the protocol that is used to carry the encoded interface data (e.g. NETCONF, RESTCONF or REST/HTTP). The protocol, along with the data model, also defines the operations that are supported - for example, creating and deleting persistent managed objects, reading and writing attributes of those objects, etc.

Note that in the context of LSO, an API does not constrain the implementation of either management entity to a particular programming language; it simply describes the format and semantics of messages passed between them.

### 7.6 API Reference Implementations

This section discusses the development of reference implementations based on the API specifications. API Reference Implementations are MEF Forum developed management protocol specific implementations of interfaces providing the functions and information exchanges that implement Management Interface Reference Points in the LSO reference model. Such Reference Implementations help to accelerate the development of open and interoperable APIs that will bring about the realization of LSO. API Reference Implementations are based on the functional requirements described in an Interface Profile and defined in the associated API Specification. MEF API Reference Implementations may apply MEF specifications as well as specifications of partnering SDOs. To help accelerate the development of LSO API Reference Implementations, the MEF Forum sponsors events such as LSO Hackathons.

### 7.7 API Implementation Certification

The MEF Forum has unique positioning in the industry with MEF service-oriented certification, and will continue to do so to support the LSO Vision of MEF’s Third Network. API Implementations are essential for the realization of LSO APIs and may be incorporated in future MEF certification programs that will verify the LSO related API Implementations including data exchange formats and behavior. Also, experience gained during certification may be used to improve or extend the LSO Reference Architecture.
8 High Level Management Requirements

This section describes the high level functional requirements for LSO functional management entities (see Section 9.1), including the Service Orchestration Functionality (SOF). Interface reference point specific application of the functionality described in this section will be addressed in subsequent documents. The service lifecycle addresses each functional area from Product Offering definition through service fulfillment, control, assurance, and billing [MEF 50].

8.1 Agile Product / Service Design

Product and Service development lifecycle management agility is supported by LSO with its abilities to rapidly model or import modular model specifications from different layers of abstractions such as Product Offering, Product, Service, Service Component, and Resource. The static and dynamic relationships among layers of model abstractions need to be represented, along with their behaviors (such as design and assign policies) and actions (such as create, modify, test, etc.).

Requirements:

[R-LSO-RA-1]: LSO SHALL support the product lifecycle management process (i.e. as defined in [MEF 50])

[R-LSO-RA-2]: LSO SHALL maintain catalog capabilities in support of:
- Product Specifications (from which Product Offering will be defined and exposed in a product catalog)
- Service Specifications (for the Service and each Service Component)
- Product Instance to Service mapping rules for each Product Offering
- Service design and policy assignment

8.2 Order Fulfillment and Service Control

Order Fulfillment and Service Control support the orchestration of provisioning related activities involved in the fulfillment of a Customer order or a service control request, including the tracking and reporting of the provisioning progress. This breaks down into multiple functional orchestration areas:

- Order Fulfillment Orchestration: deals with decomposing a customer order into one or multiple service provisioning activities and orchestrating of all customer order-related fulfillment activities;
- Service Configuration and Activation Orchestration: responsible for the design, assignment, and activation activities for the end-to-end service and/or some or all Service Components;
- Service Control Orchestration: permits the service to be dynamically changed within specific bounds described in policies that are established at the time of provisioning;
- **Service Delivery Orchestration**: responsible for the service delivery via network implementation delegation of each Service Component to their respective delivery system or mechanism; and
- **Service Activation Testing Orchestration** (see Section 8.3): coordinates all service activation testing activities, for parts and/or the complete end-to-end service.

### 8.2.1 Order Fulfillment Orchestration

Order Fulfillment Orchestration is triggered from a Customer order, generally originating from a business application such as a Customer relationship management system or order entry system. This set of functionality will deliver an order initiated rapid on-demand customer experience provided all activities are automated. Its responsibilities include, but are not limited to:

- Scheduling, assigning and coordinating Customer provisioning related activities;
- Generating the respective service creation / modification / move / deletion request(s) based on specific Customer orders;
- Undertaking necessary tracking of the execution process;
- Adding additional information to an existing Customer order under execution;
- Modifying information in an existing Customer order under execution;
- Canceling a Customer order when the initiating sales request is cancelled;
- Monitoring the jeopardy status of Customer orders, and escalating Customer order status as necessary in accordance with local policy;
- Instantiating, when appropriate, an event for the billing system; and
- Indicating completion of a Customer order by modifying the Customer order status.

#### Requirements:

[R-LSO-RA-3]: **LSO SHALL** be able to decompose a Customer order into one or multiple service provisioning activities (such as multiple service requests), and orchestrate these provisioning activities.

[R-LSO-RA-4]: **LSO SHALL** ensure Customer order related provisioning activities are assigned, managed and tracked efficiently to meet the agreed or estimated committed availability time or date.

Note that LSO should enable staggered billing per site, for example, in cases where one or more sites, in a multi-site Customer order, were to get into exception/fall-out stages for a long duration or require longer duration manual activities.

[R-LSO-RA-5]: **LSO SHALL** be able to receive a completed Customer order, with content based on a Product Offering and definition within a product catalog.

[D-LSO-RA-1]: **LSO SHOULD** be able to orchestrate diverse product-related activities, based on an incoming Customer order (which may comprise many dependent and independent order lines), such as initiating the billing process, coordinating supply chain management for delivery of a purchased CPE, coordinating with other service fulfillment systems within the Service Provider’s domain, etc.
[D-LSO-RA-2]: **LSO SHOULD** support customer order revisions (add or modify order elements, such as adding a new site to the Customer order, or modifying a site bandwidth) in case they are submitted against an order which is still in progress.

[D-LSO-RA-3]: **LSO SHOULD** support customer order cancellation, including rollback, intercepting the order fulfillment execution.

[R-LSO-RA-6]: **LSO SHALL** be capable of orchestrating business and operations support systems (e.g., billing and revenue management, customer relationship management, fault management, and performance / SLA management).

[R-LSO-RA-7]: **LSO SHALL** undertake necessary tracking of the execution process, dynamically modify and report the Customer order status, and monitor the jeopardy status of Customer orders, escalating Customer orders as necessary.

### 8.2.2 Service Configuration and Activation Orchestration

At a high level, the Service Configuration and Activation Orchestration is responsible for the design of the end-to-end service, including the selection and routing of the Service over the involved domains (e.g., Forwarding Domains) and the Service Component parameters, as well as the calculation of the list of technical actions ("delivery orchestration plan" or plan of tasks necessary to instantiate the Service) that must get executed for the implementation of the service. Specifically, Service Configuration and Activation Orchestration encompasses allocation, design, and configuration of specific Services or Service Components in support of Product Instances to meet Customer requirements, or in response to requests from other processes to alleviate specific service capacity shortfalls, availability concerns or failure conditions. In support of Service Configuration and Activation Orchestration, LSO applies details from the Product Offering and the Customer order to design the end-to-end Service, and identifies the edge-to-edge Service Components that comprise the Service. Network Domain Controllers will design and configure each Service Component within their domain.

Responsibilities of the Service Configuration and Activation Orchestration include, but are not limited to:

- Verifying whether specific Service Request sought by Customers are feasible;
- Decomposition of the Service into Service Components;
- Allocating the appropriate specific service parameters within each Service Component to support service requests, control requests, or requests from other processes;
- Reserving specific service related resources (if needed) for a given period of time until the initiating Customer order is confirmed, or until the reservation period expires (if applicable);
- Configuring specific services, as appropriate;
- Recovery of specific services;
- Updating of the Service state information to reflect that the specific service has been allocated, modified or recovered;
- Assigning and tracking Service Component provisioning activities;
- Managing service provisioning jeopardy conditions (e.g., Conditions that add to the risk of missing a confirmed due date or activity required to continue processing the Service Request, such as: capacity is not available, capability is not supported, etc.); and
- Tracking progress on service configurations and activations.

Requirements:

[R-LSO-RA-8]: LSO SHALL be able to determine the necessary Service Components and configurations needed to support a Service.

[R-LSO-RA-9]: LSO SHALL be able to dynamically design and assign connectivity resources to Services based on its understanding of the underlying network topology (across one or multiple internal and/or external networks) in order to manage the fulfillment and assurance of Connectivity Services.

[D-LSO-RA-4]: LSO SHOULD be able to retrieve topology information from Network Domain Controllers.

[R-LSO-RA-10]: LSO SHALL own and manage a stateful inventory of services, network topologies (forwarding domains bounded by external and internal interfaces on edge network elements or network functions) and, optionally, resources, or have direct access to such external sources (e.g., domain managers), used as metadata for the dynamic computation of add / modify / delete orders or service control requests for Connectivity Services.

[D-LSO-RA-5]: LSO SHOULD support the service view, network view, and topology view abstractions (as described in Section 11 of this document).

[R-LSO-RA-11]: LSO SHALL be able to dynamically compute the list of technical actions to be supplied to the Service Delivery Orchestration process (described in Section 8.2.3) as an orchestration delivery plan (including the designed service layout, infrastructure resource requirements, and associated schedule) resulting from service topology and/or configuration changes to the stateful inventory in relation to part or all of one or more Customer orders or Service Control requests.

- This includes any Customer or system requests such as create, modify, move, delete, rollback, change administration status, etc. against any or all parts of the End-to-End Connectivity Service and/or its constructs. (Note that technical actions may be related to one or multiple internal networks managed by the Service Provider, but also targeted to external networks managed by Wholesale Providers)

[D-LSO-RA-6]: Technical Actions in LSO SHOULD include validation, feasibility checks, provisioning of network connectivity (e.g., Forwarding Constructs, and logical termination points as described in Section 11), requests to spin up new network functions (e.g., firewall function, monitoring function, etc.), requests to deliver a physical network function, and requests to order relevant Access Provider(s) Product Offerings (e.g., an E-Access type product, etc.).
[R-LSO-RA-12]: LSO SHALL identify manual service configuration and activation activities which were not or could not be automated and orchestrate tracking of them, for delivery of the End-to-End Connectivity Service.

8.2.3 Service Control Orchestration

While Order Fulfillment Orchestration deals with establishing or modifying a service through the ordering process, Service Control permits the service to be dynamically changed within specific bounds described in policies that are established at the time of ordering. After a service is provisioned and established, LSO may enable Service Control to Customers / parties, such as the ability to modify attributes subject to schedule policies and service constraint policies with for example specified ranges of valid values. Such dynamic behavior and associated constraints are defined based on the Product Offering and Product implemented by the Service. Service Control relates to capabilities such as turning on or off connections, throttling bandwidth or other QoS characteristics, etc.

Service Control Orchestration is triggered from a service configuration change request, for service aspects defined as “dynamic” (e.g., as defined in MEF 47), or from a Customer initiated service control request, a scheduled service change event, or any other automated control means. This function allows Customers and/or systems to actively control the dynamic behavior of the Services (including both connections and interfaces), constrained by Customer and service policies in terms of service status or service configuration change actions allowed or not, and with approved characteristics value ranges or sets. As examples, LSO may support the throttling up or down the bandwidth associated with specific connections (including on a per CoS basis) within defined constraints (e.g., bounds or ranges), and turning on and off specific service access points within established service interfaces in accordance with their specified service policies.

Service Control Orchestration responsibilities include, but are not limited to:

- Scheduling, assigning and coordinating service control related activities;
- Undertaking necessary tracking of the execution process of service control requests;
- Adding additional information to an existing service control request under execution;
- Modifying information in an existing service control request under execution;
- Modifying the service control request status, and indicating completion of a service control request;
- Canceling a service control request;
- Monitoring the jeopardy status of service control requests, and escalating service control requests as necessary; and
- Instantiating, when appropriate, an event for the billing system to capture the policy-constrained change.

Requirements:

[R-LSO-RA-13]: LSO SHALL be able to receive a service control request, with policy-constrained content based on subsets of service specifications, defined within a technical catalog, or based on service administration status change.
[R-LSO-RA-14]: **LSO SHALL** be able to decompose a service control request into one or multiple Service configuration and activation activities, and orchestrate these configuration and activation activities.

[R-LSO-RA-15]: **LSO SHALL** be able to determine the necessary Service Components and configurations needed to support a Service instance

[R-LSO-RA-16]: **LSO service control orchestration SHALL** ensure Customer Service configuration and activation activities are assigned, managed and tracked efficiently to meet the agreed or estimated committed availability time or date.

[R-LSO-RA-17]: **LSO SHALL** support changing the administrative state (e.g., enabled or disabled) of a Service and each of its Service Components.

[D-LSO-RA-7]: **LSO SHOULD** support service control request revisions (add or modify request elements, such as modifying a site bandwidth) in case they are submitted against a request which is still in progress.

[D-LSO-RA-8]: **LSO SHOULD** support service control request cancellation, including rollback, intercepting the service control request execution.

[R-LSO-RA-18]: **LSO SHALL** be capable of orchestrating service control requests with operations support systems that need to be aware of changes to Service attributes, such as Fault Management and Performance / SLA Management.

[R-LSO-RA-19]: **LSO SHALL** undertake necessary tracking of the execution process, dynamically modify and report the Customer service control request status, and monitor the jeopardy status of service control requests, escalating service control requests as necessary.

[R-LSO-RA-20]: Upon completion of any billing-impacting changes due to Service Control Orchestration, **LSO SHALL**, where applicable, generate a service control change event targeted at the billing system.

### 8.2.4 Service Delivery Orchestration

Service Delivery Orchestration is responsible for **coordinated execution** of the service delivery orchestration plan, considering dependencies and such, generated by Service Configuration and Activation Orchestration, delegating and tracking the actual Service Components implementation to various delivery or implementation systems or methods, such as:

- One or multiple Network Domain Controllers (e.g., subnetwork connectivity);
- An NFV Orchestrator (e.g., virtual CPE delivery);
- A request for an Access Provider product order for off-net Service Components (e.g., E-Access);
- Any other system, such as a workforce management system (e.g., last mile fiber installation with human resources) or Supply Chain Management (e.g., delivery of a physical CPE).
Requirements:

[R-LSO-RA-21]: LSO SHALL support service delivery orchestration, based on a dynamically generated delivery plan (including the designed service layout, infrastructure resource requirements, and associated schedule), against one or multiple delivery systems, methods, or partners, to fulfill a portion or the entirety of a Customer order or service control request.

- Delivery systems may include: WAN Controllers, SDN Controllers, service-capable EMSs, NFV Orchestrators, SDN Orchestrators, etc.
- Delivery methods may include orchestration of automated and manual methods, the latter being either explicitly managed by LSO or delegated to an external system (ex: a manual provisioning system, a workforce management system, a supply chain management system, a project management system, and so forth).
- Delivery via partners may include orchestration of requests to partners (via direct order or via internal request for order) to create, modify, move, delete, or rollback Service Components provided by partners.

[R-LSO-RA-22]: LSO Delivery Orchestration SHALL undertake necessary tracking of the execution process of technical actions, dynamically report the delivery status, and monitor the jeopardy status of technical actions, initiating fall-out management as necessary.

8.3 Service Testing Orchestration

Service Testing Orchestration plays a critical role within LSO by automating the test (including Service Activation Testing and In-Service Testing) and verification of Connectivity Services, seamlessly, across multiple operator networks. For Carrier Ethernet, Service Activation Testing is currently described in [MEF 48].

LSO may be used to orchestrate and control the different systems capable of conducting tests and reporting on Connectivity Services. These systems may be implemented within the network infrastructure, the element control managers or can be deployed on demand, in the form of virtual machines.

As the different locations and network elements involved in the fulfillment of end-to-end Connectivity Services may not all be available at the same time, the Service Testing Orchestration flexibility allows for real-time staggered testing, from simple unit level connectivity tests, to end-to-end comprehensive Service Activation Testing.

Customer acceptance is received from the Customer. The Customer may view their particular services test results, or under special agreement with their Service Provider, be able to perform a set of predefined service acceptance tests.
Requirements:

[R-LSO-RA-23]: LSO SHALL orchestrate end-to-end network connectivity testing, including flexibility for staggered testing. (e.g., testing two different OVCs in the operator networks before testing the EVC)

[D-LSO-RA-9]: LSO SHOULD orchestrate the performing of Service Component level testing at the Resource Management level with systems capable of conducting and reporting on Service Component tests.

[R-LSO-RA-24]: LSO SHALL facilitate and coordinate end-to-end service tests, and issue testing requests, via APIs, to systems capable of conducting and reporting on Service Component tests.

[D-LSO-RA-10]: LSO SHOULD orchestrate Customer acceptance testing.

8.4 Service Problem Management for LSO

Service Problem Management capabilities for LSO support alarm surveillance, including the detection of errors and faults. LSO may receive trouble-related information about the Service, either end-to-end or per each Service Component. This information is organized to facilitate the evaluation of the overall performance and status associated with the Customer’s Services. Customers may be provided with trouble-related information by LSO so that they may track the service impact and status of trouble resolution. Reports related with the Connectivity Services may be provided to the Customer, including: correlated alarms, performance events, trouble reports, the potential root cause, and the identified impact on the Connectivity Services. The Customer may also control the filtering of reports and notifications and may provide reports of problems and related information back to the Service Provider in order to aid resolution. Service Problem Management capabilities in LSO also allow the Customer to provide feedback on the proposed resolution. The Customer may also request that service-related tests be performed by the Service Provider on their behalf.

Requirements:

[R-LSO-RA-25]: LSO SHALL support alarm surveillance: detection of errors and faults and correlation to services.

[R-LSO-RA-26]: LSO SHALL orchestrate service level fault verification, isolation, and testing.

[R-LSO-RA-27]: LSO SHALL evaluate and present the service impact of specific failure conditions (e.g., specifying which services are negatively impacted by a specific fault on a network resource)

[R-LSO-RA-28]: LSO SHALL report correlated alarms, performance degradations, trouble reports, etc. to the Customer, including the potential root cause and identified impact on services.
[R-LSO-RA-29]: LSO SHALL control filtering of problem related notifications.

[R-LSO-RA-30]: LSO SHALL provide problem related information allowing the status of problem resolution to be tracked.

[R-LSO-RA-31]: LSO SHALL orchestrate Connectivity Service fault recovery.

### 8.5 Service Quality Management for LSO

Service Quality Management capabilities in LSO include the collection of service performance information (e.g., delay, loss, etc.) in support of key quality indicators across all network operators who participate in delivering the connectivity service. This also includes gathering of feedback from the Customer, including Customer-provided performance measurements. Service quality is analyzed by comparing the service performance metrics with the service quality objectives described in the SLS. The results of the service quality analysis are provided to the Customer as well as information about known events that may impact the overall service quality (e.g., maintenance events, congestion, relevant known problems, demand peaks, etc.). LSO Service Quality Management capabilities also include capacity analysis in support of traffic engineering, traffic management, and service quality improvement. Holistic and responsive traffic engineering capabilities manage aggregate traffic flows thorough the network based on measured and predicted demands in order to effectively meet the demand while maintaining service quality objectives.

**Requirements:**

[R-LSO-RA-32]: LSO SHALL collect service performance related information across involved domains.

[D-LSO-RA-11]: LSO SHOULD gather Customer perceived quality feedback.

[R-LSO-RA-33]: LSO SHALL analyze service quality by comparing the service performance metrics with the service quality objectives described in the SLS.

[R-LSO-RA-34]: LSO SHALL allow the definition of thresholds on service performance metrics based on service quality objectives.

[R-LSO-RA-35]: LSO SHALL provide performance information relevant to the Service.

[R-LSO-RA-36]: LSO SHALL provide the results of the service quality analysis to the Customer, including information about known events that may impact the overall service quality (e.g., maintenance events, congestion, relevant known troubles, demand peaks, etc.).

[R-LSO-RA-37]: LSO SHALL perform traffic and capacity analysis in support of traffic engineering.

[R-LSO-RA-38]: LSO SHALL perform service quality improvement to address detected degradations.
[R-LSO-RA-39]: LSO SHALL coordinate the management of aggregate traffic flows through the network based on capacity analysis and projected demands.

[R-LSO-RA-40]: LSO SHALL allow the definition of end-to-end SLA enforcement / assurance / resolution policies associated with the Product Offering.

8.6 Billing and Usage Measurements for LSO

Billing and Usage Measurements capabilities in LSO enable operators to gather and provide usage measurements, traffic measurements, and service-related usage events (e.g., changes in service bandwidth, etc.) describing the usage of Service Components and associated resources. LSO billing and usage measurement capabilities are responsible for the collection and correlation of such information relative to specific Connectivity Services. Exception reports may be generated to describe where Service Components and resources have been used beyond the usage commitments as described in the SLS.

Requirements:

[R-LSO-RA-41]: LSO SHALL support the reporting of the usage of service capabilities and associated resources.

[R-LSO-RA-42]: LSO SHALL assemble Service Component usage data to compose an end-to-end view of service usage.

[R-LSO-RA-43]: LSO SHALL capture control based service events (change in bandwidth, etc.).

[D-LSO-RA-12]: LSO SHOULD generate exception reports to describe where service resources have been used beyond the commitments as described in the SLS.

[D-LSO-RA-13]: LSO SHOULD include billing management capabilities as described in MEF 50.

8.7 Security Management for LSO

Security Management in LSO provides for the protection of management and control mechanisms, controlled access to the network, and controlled access to service-related traffic that flows across the network. Such security management capabilities support the authentication of users and applications and provide access control to the variety of capabilities on the APIs supporting management and control based on the roles assigned to each authorized user. The security management capabilities of LSO include encryption and key management to ensure that only authenticated users are allowed to successfully access the management and control entities and functions. LSO security thwarts network attacks by taking responsive steps, such as applying filtering controls on specified traffic flows, when a specific threat is identified. A LSO specific threat model may be developed as an additional LSO related document.
Requirements:

In order to ensure the integrity and security of the management and control mechanisms supported within LSO:

[R-LSO-RA-44]: LSO **SHALL** provide authentication for all management interactions across LSO Interface Reference Points.

[D-LSO-RA-14]: LSO **SHOULD** provide role based access control for users.

[R-LSO-RA-45]: LSO **SHALL** support encryption across cross-administrative domain interfaces (e.g., Service Provider to Partner interfaces, and Service Provider to Customer interfaces) and the associated key management capabilities.

[R-LSO-RA-46]: LSO **SHALL** orchestrate the management of rule based traffic filtering controls for Connectivity Services.

[R-LSO-RA-47]: LSO **SHALL** maintain information related to trust relationships with the domains and entities with which the components in LSO interact.

8.8 Analytics for LSO

Analytics capabilities in LSO support the fusion and analysis of information among management and control functionality across management domains in order to assemble a relevant and complete operational picture of the end-to-end Connectivity Services, Service Components, and the supporting network infrastructure – both physical and virtual. Analytics ensures that information is visible, accessible, and understandable when needed and where needed to accelerate decision-making. For example, LSO analytics may utilize service fulfillment, control, and usage information to predict and trend service growth for the network operator.

Requirements:

[R-LSO-RA-48]: LSO **SHALL** support the fusion and analysis of information among management and control functionality across management domains.

[R-LSO-RA-49]: In support of analytics, LSO **SHALL** assemble a relevant and complete operational picture of the Services, Service Components, and the associated supporting network infrastructure, both physical and virtual.

[R-LSO-RA-50]: LSO **SHALL** ensure that information is visible and accessible when needed and where needed to accelerate decision-making.

[R-LSO-RA-51]: LSO **SHALL** support prediction and trending of service growth and resource demand as compared to available resource capacity.
8.9 Policy-based Management for LSO

The behavior of LSO may be prescribed by the set of rules under which the LSO orchestration, management and control logic must operate. Service policies may be encoded in such rules in order to describe and design the dynamic behavior of Services. Coordinated Connectivity Service relies on the orchestration of distributed capabilities across potentially many internal networks and many network operators to enable end-to-end management. LSO policy-based management capabilities provide rules-based coordination and automation of management processes across administrative domains supporting effective configuration, assurance, and control of services and their supporting resources.

In LSO, service design policies may enable the design and creation of end-to-end network services, and are aimed at being automated to adhere to the NaaS paradigm as described in the Third Network Vision. Furthermore, service objectives may be implemented as sets of policies with event-triggered conditions and associated actions, as well as intent-based policies. Such policies would adjust the behavior of services and service resources – including bandwidth, traffic priority, and traffic admission controls – allowing Connectivity Services to adapt rapidly to dynamic conditions in order to satisfy critical, ever-changing needs and priorities.

Requirements:

[D-LSO-RA-15]: LSO SHOULD provide rules based coordination and automation of management processes across administrative domains supporting effective configuration, assurance and control of services and their supporting Service Components.

[D-LSO-RA-16]: LSO SHOULD support service related policies that encode rules that describe the design and dynamic behavior of the services.

[D-LSO-RA-17]: LSO SHOULD support service objective based policies that implement sets of rules with event triggered conditions and associated actions.

[D-LSO-RA-18]: LSO SHOULD adjust the behavior of services and service resources, including bandwidth, traffic priority, and traffic admission controls through policies, allowing Connectivity Services to adapt rapidly to dynamic conditions.

[D-LSO-RA-19]: Within LSO, user / party and service policies SHOULD be used to control and bound the objects, parameters, value ranges and states that are allowed to be created, modified, or deleted.

8.10 Customer Management for LSO

There are many types of interactions between Customers and Service Providers that are relevant to LSO. For example, a Service Provider may interact with potential Customers to determine serviceability of a Product Offering, helping to ensure that the underlying infrastructure is both capable and available to support the desired Product Offering or Service for the Customer.
**Requirements:**

The following requirements support the Customer interactions with LSO:

[R-LSO-RA-52]: LSO SHALL provide capabilities for the Customer to browse the product catalog for Product Offerings.

[R-LSO-RA-53]: LSO SHALL provide capabilities for the Customer to develop, place and track orders.

[R-LSO-RA-54]: LSO SHALL provide capabilities for the Customer to request modification of their Service, including rules guiding the dynamic service characteristics.

[R-LSO-RA-55]: LSO SHALL provide capabilities for the Customer to provide Customer acceptance feedback and view Customer acceptance testing information.

[R-LSO-RA-56]: LSO SHALL provide capabilities for the Customer to view service performance and fault information.

[R-LSO-RA-57]: LSO SHALL provide capabilities for the Customer to place and track trouble reports.

[R-LSO-RA-58]: LSO SHALL provide capabilities for the Customer to view usage and billing information.

**8.11 Partner Management for LSO**

In support of LSO, the Service Provider will interact with Partners. For example, a Partner may interact with the Service Provider to help the Service Provider to determine Service feasibility.

The following requirements support the Partner interactions with LSO:

[R-LSO-RA-59]: LSO SHALL provide capabilities for the Partner to provide product catalog information for Product Offerings.

[R-LSO-RA-60]: LSO SHALL provide capabilities for the Service Provider to develop, place and track orders with the Partner.

[R-LSO-RA-61]: LSO SHALL provide capabilities for the Service Provider to modify their Service, including rules guiding the dynamic service characteristics with the Partner.

[R-LSO-RA-62]: LSO SHALL provide capabilities for the Service Provider to request test initiation and view test result information from the partner.

[R-LSO-RA-63]: LSO SHALL provide capabilities for the Partner to provide service performance and fault information.

[R-LSO-RA-64]: LSO SHALL provide capabilities for the Partner to receive trouble reports and provide trouble status updates.

[R-LSO-RA-65]: LSO SHALL provide capabilities for the Partner to provide usage and billing information.
9 LSO Reference Architecture

The LSO Reference Architecture characterizes the management and control domains and functional management entities that enable cooperative LSO capabilities. The architecture also identifies the Management Interface Reference Points, the logical points of interaction between specific functional management entities. These Management Interface Reference Points are further defined by Interface Profiles and implemented by APIs. The High Level LSO Reference Architecture is shown in Figure 2. Note that this is a functional architecture that does not describe how the functional management entities are implemented (e.g., single vs. multiple instances), but rather identifies functional management entities that provide logical functionality as well as the points of interaction among them.

![Figure 2 LSO Reference Architecture](image)

9.1 Definition of LSO Functional Management Entities

This section defines each of the LSO functional management entities within the LSO ecosystem that are involved in providing the cooperative LSO capabilities. The definition for each functional management entity describes its logical scope of functionality. The abbreviation that is used within the LSO Reference Architecture for each functional management entity is also provided.
• Business Applications (BUS): The Service Provider functionality supporting Business Management Layer functionality (e.g., product catalog, ordering, billing, relationship management, etc.).
• Service Orchestration Functionality (SOF): The set of service management layer functionality supporting an agile framework to streamline and automate the service lifecycle in a sustainable fashion for coordinated management supporting design, fulfillment, control, testing, problem management, quality management, usage measurements, security management, analytics, and policy-based management capabilities providing coordinated end-to-end management and control of Layer 2 and Layer 3 Connectivity Services.
• Infrastructure Control and Management (ICM): The set of functionality providing domain specific network and topology view resource management capabilities including configuration, control and supervision of the network infrastructure. ICM is responsible for providing coordinated management across the network resources within a specific management and control domain. For example, a system supporting ICM capabilities provides connection management across a specific subnetwork domain. Such capabilities may be provided within systems such as subnetwork managers, SDN controllers, etc. Section 9.1.1 provides some ICM implementation examples.
• Element Control and Management (ECM): The set of functionality supporting element management layer capabilities for individual network elements. While a system supporting ECM capabilities provides for the abstraction of individual infrastructure elements, it may reflect the element view for multiple elements, but not provide coordinated management across the set of elements.
• Customer Application Coordinator (CUS): A functional management entity in the Customer domain that is responsible for coordinating the management of the various service needs (e.g., compute, storage, network, etc.) of specific applications. The AC may be responsible for the harmonization of cloud services on behalf of multiple applications. The AC supports Customer interactions with the Service Provider to request, modify, manage, control, and terminate Products or Services.

9.1.1 Examples of SDO Architectural Elements within Infrastructure Control and Management

This section gives some examples of SDO defined architectural elements that provide functionality within the scope of the LSO ICM functional management entity, namely the ONF SDN Controller, the ETSI NFV Management and Orchestration Network Functions Virtualization Orchestrator, and MEF EMS (or Subnetwork Manager). MEF’s UNITE effort provides coordination between the MEF Forum and other SDOs (e.g., ONF, ETSI, etc.).

• ONF SDN Controller [ONF TR-504]: The functionality in charge of translating the network requirements from the SDN Application layer down to the SDN Datapaths and providing the SDN Applications with an abstract view of the network including statistics and events.
• ETSI NFV Management and Orchestration - NFV Orchestrator [ETSI GS NFV-MAN 001]: The functionality that manages the Network Service (NS) lifecycle and coordinates
the management of NS lifecycle, VNF lifecycle (supported by the VNF Manager) and Network Functions Virtualization Infrastructure (NFVI) resources (supported by the Virtualized Infrastructure Manager) to ensure an optimized allocation of the necessary resources and connectivity.

- EMS or Subnetwork Manager: The ICM may also be implemented by traditional subnetwork managers (aka WAN Managers) and EMSs that manage the connectivity across specific network domains or subnetworks [MEF 15].

### 9.2 Definition of Management Interface Reference Points

Definitions for each Management Interface Reference Point within the LSO Reference Architecture are provided in this section. Each Management Interface Reference Point is identified with a name (e.g., CANTATA), as well as a context identifying the interacting LSO functional management entities (e.g., CUS:BUS).

- **CANTATA (CUS:BUS):** The Management Interface Reference Point that provides a Customer Application Coordinator (including enterprise Customers) with capabilities to support the operations interactions (e.g., ordering, billing, trouble management, etc.) with the Service Provider’s Business Applications for a portion of the Service Provider service capabilities related to the Customer’s Products and Services (e.g., Customer Service Management interface). Since cross domain interactions are supported, additional security considerations need to be addressed on this Management Interface Reference Point.

- **ALLEGRO (CUS:SOF):** The Management Interface Reference Point that allows Customer Application Coordinator supervision and control of dynamic service behavior (see Section 8.2.3) of the LSO service capabilities under its purview through interactions with the Service Orchestration Functionality. When a Customer exercises dynamic service behavior via Allegro, the Service Orchestration Functionality must validate each request using the Service specific policies that govern such dynamic behavior. Such dynamic behavior and associated constraints are defined based on the Product Specification implemented by the Service. For example, a Service specific dynamic service policy may describe the range of bandwidth in which the Customer is permitted to throttle. Allegro may also be used to share service level fault information with the Customer. Since cross domain interactions are supported, additional security considerations need to be addressed on this Management Interface Reference Point.

- **LEGATO (BUS:SOF):** The Management Interface Reference Point between the Business Applications and the Service Orchestration Functionality needed to allow management and operations interactions supporting LSO connectivity services. For example, the Business Applications may, based on a Customer order, use Legato to request the instantiation of a Connectivity Service. Legato may also allow the SOF to describe Services and capabilities it is able to instantiate. Also, the Service Orchestration Function may use Legato to ask the Business Applications to place an order to a Partner provider for the access service needed as a Service Component of an end-to-end Connectivity Service.
SONATA (BUS:BUS): The Management Interface Reference Point supporting the management and operations interactions (e.g., ordering, billing, trouble management, etc.) between two network providers (e.g., Service Provider Domain and Partner Domain). For example, the Service Provider Business Applications may use Sonata to place an order to a Partner provider for an access service that is needed as a part of an end-to-end Connectivity Service. Since cross domain interactions are supported, additional security considerations need to be addressed on this Management Interface Reference Point.

INTERLUDE (SOF:SOF): The Management Interface Reference Point that provides for the coordination of a portion of LSO services within the partner domain that are managed by a Service Provider’s Service Orchestration Functionality within the bounds and policies defined for the service. Through Interlude, the Service Orchestration Functionality may request initiation of technical operations or dynamic control behavior associated with a Service with a partner network domain (see Section 8.2.3). Such requests must be within the constraints set forth in the policies associated with established Services and performed without impacting business applications. For example, to satisfy a Customer request, the Service Orchestration Functionality may request changes to a CE-VLAN ID mapping at a UNI that resides in a partner domain. Interlude may also be used to share service level fault information with the partner domain. Since cross domain interactions are supported, additional security considerations need to be addressed on this Management Interface Reference Point.

PRESTO (SOF:ICM): The resource Management Interface Reference Point needed to manage the network infrastructure, including network and topology view related management functions. For example, the Service Orchestration Function will use Presto to request ICM to create connectivity or functionality associated with specific Service Components of an end-to-end Connectivity Service within the domain managed by each ICM. Presto may also allow the ICM to describe Resources and capabilities it is able to instantiate.

ADAGIO (ICM:ECM): The element Management Interface Reference Point needed to manage the network resources, including element view related management functions. For example, ICM will use Adagio to implement cross-connections or network functions on specific elements via the ECM functionality responsible for managing the element.

NOTE: For more details about the types of interactions envisioned for each Management Interface Reference Point, Table 5, entitled, Examples of High Level Interactions per LSO Management Interface Reference Point, may be found in Appendix I (Section 13).
10 Operational Threads for LSO

Operational Threads describe the high level Use Cases of LSO behavior as well as the series of interactions among LSO management entities, helping to express the vision of the LSO capabilities. The interactions described within each Operational Thread will address the detailed involvement of the Interface Reference Points in the LSO Reference Architecture. Each subsection identifies and outlines some of the operational threads that will be developed in subsequent LSO related documents. When fully defined in a future document, each Operational Thread will describe the orchestration within the LSO Reference Architecture highlighting the coordination within a Service Provider domain and also addressing the interactions with both the Customer domain and Partner domain. In addition, when fully defined, Operational Threads will be mapped to the requirements they support in the LSO Reference Architecture and Framework.

Operational Threads identified for LSO include:

- Designing and Launching a New Product Offering
- Partners on-boarding
- Product Ordering and Service Activation Orchestration
- Controlling a Service
- Customer Viewing Service Performance and Fault Reports and Metrics
- Placing and Tracking Trouble Reports
- Assessing Service Quality Based on SLS
- Collection and Reporting of Billing and Usage
- Securing Management and Control Mechanisms
- Providing Connectivity Services for Cloud

10.1 Designing and Launching a New Product Offering

The Service Provider identifies the need to introduce a new Product Offering. The requirements of the new Product Offering are determined and associated definition of the product details is created. A specification of the Services needed to support Product Instances corresponding to the Product Offering is created. The Product Offering is added to the product catalog and made available for potential Customers.

10.2 Partners On-boarding

The Service Provider begins a business relationship with Partner providers. The Product Offering capabilities of each Partner are shared with the Service Provider, along with any associated billing information and quality objectives. Rules guiding the business arrangement with the Partner may be codified within Policies. The Service Provider may use the details of the Partner's Product Offerings to identify the potential capabilities of Service Components that could be implemented using the Partner's products.
10.3 Product Ordering and Service Activation Orchestration

This Operational Thread addresses the orchestration of activities associated with product ordering and service activation within LSO for a Connectivity Service within the Provider domain and also addressing any Partner domain provided portion of the Product Instance. The Customer browses the Service Provider's product catalog and selects a Product Offering to order. LSO fulfills the order by selecting, assigning, configuring and activating the appropriate Services and associated resources that support the ordered Product Instance. Service activation testing may be performed to verify proper configuration.

10.4 Controlling a Service

The Customer initiates a request to dynamically control a permitted aspect of its Service (e.g., bandwidth change or implementing traffic filtering controls, etc.). In the Service Provider domain, LSO uses the defined service constraints and policies to determine if the dynamic control request is permitted. If the dynamic control request needs to be supported by a Service Component within a Partner domain, LSO coordinates the changes needed to support the request with the Partner. In addition, LSO effects the necessary changes within its own domain to service the request. The Customer is also informed about the status of the request.

10.5 Customer Viewing of Performance and Fault Reports and Metrics

The Customer wishes to view performance and fault information related to its Product Instances and associated Services. In the Service Provider domain, LSO may receive fault and performance related information about the Service, either end-to-end or per each Service Component. This information is organized to facilitate the evaluation of the overall performance and status associated with the Customer’s Services and Product Instances. LSO gathers the information requested by the Customer and assembles it into a report. The Customer may also request that reports be generated on a scheduled or exception basis.

10.6 Placing and Tracking Trouble Reports

Trouble Reports related with the Customer’s Product Instances and Services may be placed by the Customer. In the Service Provider, LSO gathers and fuses trouble and fault information related to the Customer’s Product Instances and Services and associates it to the Trouble Report. LSO would also attempt to remedy the reported trouble by reconfiguring, reassigning, and / or rerouting aspects of the Service. LSO also indicates if manual intervention is required to resolve the trouble, and tracks the status of any associated repair activities to help determine trouble resolution status. The status of trouble resolution is reported back to the Customer.
10.7 Assessing Service Quality Based on SLS

The Service Provider needs to determine if the SLS for a Service is being met. Service quality is analyzed by gathering the necessary service performance related measurement and comparing these service performance metrics with the service quality objectives described in the SLS.

10.8 Collection and Reporting of Billing and Usage

The Service Provider gathers relevant usage measurements and usage events in order to generate and provide a bill to the Customer. LSO collects usage measurements, traffic measurements, and service-related usage events (e.g., Customer initiated changes in service bandwidth, etc.) describing the usage of Service Components and associated resources. This information is correlated to specific Services and Product Instances. The appropriate business applications perform rating and billing based on the usage information and business rules. Where Service Components have been used beyond their SLS commitments, exception reports may be generated. Note: Partner domains may also be involved in reporting usage and generation of billing information.

10.9 Securing Management and Control Mechanisms

The Service Provider needs to provide security for its management and control mechanisms. In this Operational Thread, LSO manages controlled access to management and control functions, including authentication, authorization, and auditing within LSO and with Partner and Customer domains.

10.10 Providing Connectivity Services for Cloud

The Customer Application Coordinator in the Customer domain manages the various service needs of the cloud based applications it is supporting. It may determine that additional capacity is needed between two data centers in order to provide for the demands of the applications. The Customer Application Coordinator interacts with the Service Provider to control the bandwidth of the Connectivity Services between these two data centers.
11 LSO Management Abstractions and Constructs

In this section, LSO Management Abstractions and constructs are described in terms of information categories and high level information classes including sample properties (e.g., attributes and associations), while the detailed logical information model will be documented by the MEF. These abstractions and constructs define a common technology independent representation of connectivity, topology and infrastructure, while providing the means to extend the model with technology specific details in a semantically rich fashion (including MEF specific service attributes). This will help ensure that the LSO functionality and information is developed in a logically consistent fashion, allowing Service Providers to readily integrate such capabilities into their management environments. Figure 3 shows the different Management Abstractions in the context of LSO, along with some example information classes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Management Abstractions</th>
<th>Information Class Examples per Management Abstraction View</th>
<th>LSO RA Context</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Product View</td>
<td>Product Catalog, Product Offering, Customer, Product Instance, Product Spec</td>
<td>Business Applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service View</td>
<td>Service, Service Component, service Spec, Service Access Point, Service Interface</td>
<td>Service Orchestration (Provider domains &amp; multi-domain)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource View</td>
<td>Link, Forwarding Domain, Forwarding Construct, Logical Termination Point, Route</td>
<td>Infrastructure Control &amp; Management (Subnetwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network &amp; Topology</td>
<td>Network Element, Card, Facility, Server, VNE, Port</td>
<td>Element Control &amp; Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element &amp; Equipment</td>
<td>Fabric, Cross Connect, Network Element, VNF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 3 Management View Abstractions](image)

There are three main abstracted management views in the LSO environment:

- **Product View**  The product domain is specific to the interaction between the Customer and the Product Offerings of a Service Provider. The Product Instance involves the
purchasing, or procurement, of specific Product Offerings from a product catalog by a Customer, and all other commercial aspects related to the Customer’s Product Instance, such as billing. Product Specifications define the individual product characteristics that are used to create differentiated Product Offerings. Software systems implementing Product Offering and Product Instance related functionality have traditionally been business support systems in the business management domain.

- **Service View**  A Product Instance is realized as one or more Services and associated resources; thus Services are tightly bound to Product Instances and may be viewed to represent the Customer experience of the Product Instance that has been realized within the Service Provider’s infrastructure. A Service is visible and directly usable by the Customer, but may be divided within the Service Provider's infrastructure into one or more Service Components, for instance corresponding to forwarding domains at the resource layer or to underlying access services that the Service Provider has purchased from a Partner domain. Service Components are not visible to the Customer. Software systems implementing service related functionality have traditionally been operational support systems in the service management domain or service management systems. Note: in the TM Forum SID [TMF GB922], a Service is referred to as a Customer Facing Service (CFS) and a Service Component is referred to as a Resource Facing Service (RFS).

- **Resource View**  Services are delivered via resources in the network, whether physical or logical. Physical resources are actual hardware, and logical resources can be viewed as functionality provided by specific pieces of hardware. The resource view can be further sub-divided into the Network and Topology View and the Element and Equipment View. The Network and Topology View encompasses all the functions across network elements, on the basis of administrative network domains. The Element and Equipment View pertains to the management of a specific set of devices. Software systems implementing Network and Topology View functionality have traditionally been operational support systems in the network management domain or network management systems. The Element and Equipment View focuses on the physical and logical resources within a single network element, or group of similar network elements. Software systems implementing Element and Equipment View functionality have traditionally been operational support systems in the element management domain or element management systems.

Each of these management views is further described in the following subsections.
11.1 Product View Abstractions

Customers need to be able to express their needs in order to determine which Product Offerings can support their requirements and Service Providers need to be able to match these requirements to technical specifications to realize the Product Offering. A Product Offering represents what is externally presented to the market for the market’s use. It can be assembled from a reusable Product Specification which describes characteristics of the Product Offering that are made externally available, both tangible and intangible objects. A product catalog contains a list of Product Offerings for sale, with prices and illustrations, for example in book form or on the web. A Product Instance represents the subscription of a Product Offering by a Customer, who normally is the purchaser of the Product Instance. Thus the Product Instance is the instantiation of a Product Offering for a given Customer.

The Product Specifications can be used by Service Providers to create differentiated Product Offerings. For example, for Carrier Ethernet these specifications may define traditional E-LINE, E-LAN, and E-TREE product characteristics for EVC based services, as well as specialty E-Access and E-TRANSIT characteristics for OVC based services. These Product Specifications will define the characteristics of UNI / ENNI service interfaces, the EVC / OVC as Connectivity Services, and the associated service access points, or endpoints of the connection.

For the most part, these product characteristics will map 1-to-1 to the service characteristics found in a Service Specification in the Service View, and, in the case of Ethernet Services, reflect the service attributes found in the MEF 6.x, MEF 10.x, and MEF 26.x technical specifications. The linkage from the Product View and the Service View is precisely through the Product Specification to the Service Specification, and from the Product Instance to the Service.

Tables 3 and 4 below show an example of part of a Product Offering definition, e.g. "Super Metro Ethernet Line" being offered by Service Provider "World Telco". In this case, the Product Offering corresponds to an EPL service. Note: the definition of the Product Offering is applicable to ALL Product Instances that are created by Service Provider

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UNI Product Characteristics</th>
<th>Product Characteristic Value(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Product Offering Name</td>
<td>&quot;Super Metro Ethernet Line&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Layer</td>
<td>10M Full Duplex, 100M Full Duplex, 10/100M Auto-Negotiation, 1 G Full Duplex, or 10G Full Duplex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Multiplexing</td>
<td>“None”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bundling</td>
<td>“None”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Frame Size</td>
<td>“1522”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All to One Bundling</td>
<td>“Enabled”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max number of EVCs</td>
<td>“1”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etc.….</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Example Definition of UNI Product Characteristics in a Product Offering
### EVC Product Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product Offering Name</th>
<th>&quot;Super Metro Ethernet Line&quot;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EVC Type</td>
<td>&quot;P2P&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MaxNumUNIs</td>
<td>&quot;2&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE Vlan Id Preservation</td>
<td>&quot;True&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE Vlan Cos Preservation</td>
<td>&quot;True&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadcast Service Frame Delivery</td>
<td>&quot;Unconditional&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4 Example Definition of EVC Product Characteristics in a Product Offering**

#### 11.2 Service View Abstractions

The service represents the intent of the Service Provider to deliver the features as specified in the Customer’s Product Instance. For example, in the case of Carrier Ethernet, the Service may be a UNI-to-UNI EVC based service offered by a Service Provider, or a UNI-to-ENNI, ENNI-to-ENNI OVC based service offered by an operator. A Service may be divided into one or more Service Components, each representing a portion of the end-to-end connectivity that traverses a single administrative domain. If, for example, a Service Provider buys an OVC from an Operator in order to provide an end-to-end EPL Service to the Customer, the Service Provider and the Operator may have different perspectives on the OVC. Within the Service Provider’s management system, the OVC is viewed as a Service Component of the end-to-end EPL Service, whereas within the Operator’s management system, the OVC is viewed as the Service. These different perspectives are illustrated in Figure 4. In Figure 4, interfaces at the boundaries between different parts of a Service Provider’s internal network are labeled 'INNI'.

![Figure 4 Example of Service View Abstraction](image-url)
11.3 Resource View Abstractions

The Resource View is comprised of the Network and Topology View and Element and Equipment View abstractions. The next two subsections use the ONF Core Model [ONF TR-512] to describe an example of Network and Topology View and Element and Equipment View abstractions.

11.3.1 Network and Topology View Abstractions

The Network Control Domain represents the scope of control that a particular Network Domain Controller or WAN controller has with respect to a particular network, i.e., encompassing a designated set of interconnected (virtual) network elements. The topology of the network may be defined based on Forwarding Domains (FDs) and Links, which represent adjacency between FDs. The FD is the topological component which represents the opportunity to enable forwarding between points represented by Logical Termination Points (LTPs). The LTP encapsulates the termination, adaptation and OAM functions of one or more transport layers.

The FD contains instances of Forwarding Constructs (FCs) of one or more layer networks (e.g., OCh, ODU, ETH, and MPLS), thus defining the transport for any given Service. The FD provides the context for instructing the formation, adjustment and removal of FCs. The FD supports recursive aggregation such that the internal construction of an FD can be exposed as multiple lower level FDs and associated Links (partitioning).

The FC effects forwarding of transport characteristic (layer protocol) information between two or more LTPs. The association of the FC to LTPs is made via Endpoints (essentially the ports of the FC).

An FC supports recursive aggregation such that the internal construction of an FC can be exposed as multiple lower level FC objects (partitioning). An FC can have zero or more Routes, each of which is defined as a list of lower level FCs.

The FC can represent many different structures including point-to-point (P2P), point-to-multipoint (P2MP), rooted-multipoint (RMP) and multipoint-to-multipoint (MP2MP) bridge and selector structure for linear, ring or mesh protection schemes.

11.3.2 Element and Equipment View Abstractions

The Network Element represents a network device in the data plane or a virtual network element visible in the interface where virtualization is needed. In the direct interface from an SDN controller to a network device in the data plane, the Network Element defines the scope of control for the resources within the network element, e.g., internal transfer of user information between the external terminations (ports), encapsulation, multiplexing / demultiplexing, and OAM functions, etc. The Network Element provides the scope of the naming space for identifying objects representing the resources within the Network Element.
Where virtualization is employed, the Network Element represents a Virtual Network Element (VNE). The mapping of the VNE to the Network Elements is the internal matter of the Network Domain Controller that offers the view of the VNE. Network Element instances can be created (or deleted) for providing (or removing) virtual views of the combination of slices of network elements in the data plane.
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13 Appendix I: Informative: Management Interface Reference Point Examples

The LSO Management Interface Reference Points portray points of interaction between LSO functional management entities in the LSO reference architecture. To help characterize the behavior of each LSO Management Interface Reference Point, this appendix provides informative examples of high level interactions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LSO Management Interface Reference Point</th>
<th>High Level Interaction Examples (non-exhaustive)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CANTATA (CUS:BUS)</td>
<td>Supports <em>Product related management interactions between the Service Provider’s Business Applications and the Customer Application Coordinator.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Customer Application Coordinator browses the product catalog for Product Offerings that are available for the Customer to select.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Based on Product Offerings, Customer Application Coordinator develops, places, tracks, and changes Product Orders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Customer Application Coordinator requests modification of Product Instances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Customer Application Coordinator receives information about the scheduled maintenance that may impact their Product Instances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Customer Application Coordinator places and tracks trouble reports.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Customer Application Coordinator queries and views usage and billing information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSO Management Interface Reference Point</td>
<td>High Level Interaction Examples (non-exhaustive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALLEGRO (CUS:SOF)</td>
<td>Supports service related management interactions between the Customer Application Coordinator and the Service Provider’s Service Orchestration Functionality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Customer Application Coordinator controls Service by requesting changes to dynamic parameters as permitted by service policies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Customer Application Coordinator queries operational state of the Service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Customer Application Coordinator requests change to administrative state or permitted attributes of a Service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Customer Application Coordinator provides and views customer acceptance testing information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Customer Application Coordinator views Service performance and fault information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Customer Application Coordinator receives Service specific event notifications from the Service Provider.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Customer Application Coordinator receives Service specific performance information from the Service Provider.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Customer Application Coordinator request test initiation and receive test results from the Service Provider.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSO Management Interface Reference Point</td>
<td>High Level Interaction Examples (non-exhaustive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SONATA</strong> <em>(BUS:BUS)</em></td>
<td>Supports <em>Product related cross domain interactions between the Service Provider’s Business Applications and the Partner’s Business Applications.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Service Provider browses the Partner’s product catalog (e.g., wholesale catalog) for Product Offerings that are available for the Service Provider to select. This may include some geographical and service information to support availability queries of a Product Offerings at some geographical area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Service Provider develops (based on Product Offerings), places, tracks, and changes Product Orders with the Partner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Service Provider requests modification of Product Instances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Service Provider receives Product Instance performance and fault information provided by the Partner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Service Provider receives information from the Partner about the scheduled maintenance that may impact their Product Instances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Service Provider places and tracks trouble reports.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Service Provider exchanges usage and billing information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSO Management Interface Reference Point</td>
<td>High Level Interaction Examples (non-exhaustive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INTERLUDE</strong> (SOF:SOF)</td>
<td>Supports control related management interactions between the Service Provider and the Partner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Service Provider controls aspects of the Service within the Partner domain (on behalf of the Customer) by requesting changes to dynamic parameters as permitted by service policies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Service Provider queries operational state of the Service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Service Provider requests change to administrative state or permitted attributes of a Service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Service Provider request creation of connectivity between two Service Interfaces as permitted by established business arrangement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Service Provider queries the Partner for detailed information related to Services provided by the Partner to the Service Provider.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Service Provider receives Service specific event notifications from the Partner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Service Provider receives Service specific performance information from the Partner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Service Provider request test initiation and receive test results from the Partner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSO Management Interface Reference Point</td>
<td>High Level Interaction Examples (non-exhaustive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **LEGATO (BUS:SOF)**                    | Supports interactions between the Business Applications and the Service Orchestration Functionality.  
Business Applications request service feasibility determination.  
Business Applications request reservation of resources related to a potential Service.  
Business Applications request activation of Service.  
Business Applications receive Service activation tracking status updates.  
Business Applications receive request to initiate Product Order with a Partner provider (for off net portions of the service).  
Business Applications receive usage events due to a Customer initiating dynamic activity on their Service (e.g., increase in bandwidth).  
Business Applications receive a summary of Service quality and usage information.  
Business Applications receive Service Activation Testing results.  
Business Applications receive capability information about the Service layer. |
| **PRESTO (SOF:ICM)**                    | Supports the management of the network infrastructure, including network and topology view related management functions.  
SOF requests ICM to create network connectivity or functionality associated with specific Service Components of an end-to-end Connectivity Service within the domain managed by each ICM.  
SOF receives topology, connectivity and routing information from ICM.  
SOF receives performance and fault information from ICM.  
SOF queries ICM for Resource Inventory (including capabilities) information. |
### LSO Management Interface Reference Point

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LSO Management Interface Reference Point</th>
<th>High Level Interaction Examples (non-exhaustive)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ADAGIO (ICM:ECM)</strong></td>
<td>Support the management of discrete network resources, including element view related management functions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ICM requests implementation of cross-connections or network functions on specific elements via the ECM functionality responsible for managing the element.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ICM requests the change in administrative state of specific resources management by the ECM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ICM discovers element level configuration information from the ECM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ICM receives element level fault and performance information from ECM.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5 Examples of High Level Interactions per LSO Management Interface Reference Point**
14 Appendix II: Informative: Relation of LSO Functional Areas to MEF 50

The LSO Reference Architecture and Framework segments the functional requirements into sections within the document based on the functional area covered by each set of requirements. This appendix provides a mapping of LSO reference architecture and framework requirements functional areas to MEF 50 related process flows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LSO Requirements Functional Area</th>
<th>Related MEF 50 Process Flows</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agile Product / Service Design</td>
<td>Product Design; Service and Resource Design;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order Fulfillment Orchestration</td>
<td>Sales Proposal and Feasibility; Capture Customer Order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Control Orchestration</td>
<td>(no mapping)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Configuration and Activation Orchestration</td>
<td>Service Configuration and Activation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Delivery Orchestration</td>
<td>Service Configuration and Activation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Testing Orchestration</td>
<td>End-to-End Service Testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Problem Management</td>
<td>Service Problem Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Quality Management</td>
<td>Service Quality Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billing and Usage Measurements</td>
<td>Billing and Revenue Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security Management</td>
<td>(no mapping)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytics</td>
<td>Service Quality Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy-based Management</td>
<td>(no mapping)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer / Partner Management</td>
<td>Establish Relationship between Service Provider and Access Provider; Terminate Customer Relationship</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6 Mapping of LSO Reference Architecture and Framework Functional Areas to MEF 50 Related Processes